



2019 Raleigh City Council and Mayoral Candidate Questionnaire

The Raleigh Police Accountability Community Taskforce (PACT), the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) of North Carolina, Carolina Justice Policy Center, and El Pueblo would like to provide voters with information about where Raleigh's 2019 Mayoral and City Council candidates stand on important issues of police accountability and oversight. Our goal is to educate voters about local candidates' positions on local policing issues.

All candidates for City Council and Mayor are receiving this questionnaire. Responses will be made publicly available in an effort to hold candidates accountable to their communities' fundamental need for justice, safety, and respect.

Please submit all responses on or before **August 27, 2019 at 6:00 p.m.**

Save a copy of this document and type your responses under each question, using additional space as needed.

Email your completed questionnaire to: **sbirdsong@acluofnc.org**.

Candidate Name: Saige Martin

2019 Raleigh City Council and Mayoral Candidate Questionnaire

1. PACT has called for the City Council to pass a resolution asserting the Council’s public support for a Community Oversight Board of local police with investigatory, subpoena, and disciplinary power. We propose focusing our community’s energy on securing Raleigh’s authority to create a board with subpoena power and later convening dialogues with community stakeholders to discuss details of the board’s structure. The Council’s support of an external Community Oversight Board with meaningful authority would communicate that we want to work together toward a system where people’s concerns would be justly investigated.

Do you support the creation of a Community Oversight Board that has the power to investigate, subpoena, and discipline police officers when there is injustice?

Response (Please give a clear “Yes” or “No” and any explanation):

Yes. I support the efforts of the members of the Human Relations Commission who sought to bring a comprehensive policy proposal to the Raleigh City Council to establish a Police Accountability Review Board. If I’m elected, I will support their efforts in establishing a Review Board as has been done similarly in many other cities.

2. For so many people, particularly Black and Latino residents, a routine encounter with a police officer can become a traumatic experience that results in unnecessary, invasive searches. This happens because the individual doesn’t know that they have the right to refuse a consent search of their person, vehicle, or home. RPD has created a consent-to-search form that states an individual’s right to refuse a search, and RPD policy requires that an individual sign their consent before a vehicle or property search, but only requires written consent before individuals themselves are searched “where possible.” It is unclear whether this policy is effectively enforced.

Are you in favor of requiring Raleigh Police Department to require and enforce the use of consent-to-search forms prior to any search and pat down that is not based on probable cause?

Response (Please give a clear “Yes” or “No” and any explanation):

Yes, I am in favor of requiring RPD to require and enforce the use of consent-to-search forms prior to any search and pat down that is not based on probable cause. I also want to implement a Racial Equity Impact assessment procedure with RPD to address the systemic root of the problem, which is unlawful and institutional bias against people of color.

3. While Raleigh has implemented a body-worn camera program, it lacks a key element to ensure it is fully implemented: disciplinary consequences for violating the policies. This was illustrated in the failure of the officer who killed Soheil Antonio Mojarrad to turn on his body-worn camera. In order to ensure proper use of body cameras, policies should specify additional training opportunities and disciplinary consequences for officers who repeatedly misuse body cameras and improperly handle recordings. Similar policies have been implemented in other cities, including Durham and Charlotte.

Do you support the development and implementation of an effective discipline policy to enforce the existing RPD body-worn camera program?

Response (Please give a clear “Yes” or “No” and any explanation):

Yes, absolutely. I want to hold police officers who improperly follow procedure accountable through training programs and disciplinary action.

4. Another tool for ensuring the consistent use of body-worn cameras is to use a camera system that automatically turns on when officers draw their weapon from its holster. The body-worn cameras that Raleigh has purchased from a company called WatchGuard apparently do not support this feature, and are turned on by the officer clicking a button on the camera.

Do you support allocating funds to implement a holster activated body-worn camera system?

Response (Please give a clear “Yes” or “No” and any explanation):

Yes, I do. It’s imperative that the cameras turn on, especially in a situation where the officers make a decision to draw their weapon. If they feel the situation warrants them drawing their weapon, they may not have time to press the camera button or even remember or intentionally not press the button, using the prior excuses for their reasoning. We must do better and having the camera turn on automatically in those cases is an effective solution.

5. Wake County's jail has regularly been overcrowded, and at times as many as 90 percent of the people in the jail are being held before their day in court, and before being convicted of any crime. Studies show it can take months or years to recover from the job loss and other damage caused by even a day or two of incarceration. There are many ways to reduce the harm to the community of jailing people before trial. One option is to expand the use of citations in lieu of arrest for certain misdemeanor charges. This policy can not only prevent harmful pretrial incarceration, but it can also reduce jail overcrowding and save time and police department resources.

Do you favor expanding the Raleigh Police Department's use of citations in lieu of arrest for certain misdemeanor charges?

Response (Please give a clear "Yes" or "No" and any explanation):

Yes. It's a waste of resources to incarcerate people for misdemeanors. It doesn't make logical sense to do that and harms everyone involved.

6. *Are you in favor of requiring, and ensuring funding for, de-escalation training for all RPD officers? Do you support establishing consequences for officers who do not attempt to de-escalate prior to any use of force?*

Response (Please give a clear "Yes" or "No" and any explanation):

Yes, de-escalation is crucial to training the officers who are charged with being keepers of the peace. De-escalation is the peaceful solution to situations that could otherwise end in death.

7. *Do you support promoting police transparency by requiring that RPD make the pictures, names, and ranks of RPD officers available on the city website?*

Response (Please give a clear "Yes" or "No" and any explanation):

Yes, absolutely. The people who are enforcing the law in our communities should be known to those communities.