

2019 Raleigh City Council and Mayoral Candidate Questionnaire

The Raleigh Police Accountability Community Taskforce (PACT), the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) of North Carolina, Carolina Justice Policy Center, and El Pueblo would like to provide voters with information about where Raleigh's 2019 Mayoral and City Council candidates stand on important issues of police accountability and oversight. Our goal is to educate voters about local candidates' positions on local policing issues.

All candidates for City Council and Mayor are receiving this questionnaire. Responses will be made publicly available in an effort to hold candidates accountable to their communities' fundamental need for justice, safety, and respect.

Please submit all responses on or before **August 27, 2019 at 6:00 p.m.**

Save a copy of this document and type your responses under each question, using additional space as needed.

Email your completed questionnaire to: **sbirdsong@acluofnc.org**.

Candidate Name: Sam Hershey

2019 Raleigh City Council and Mayoral Candidate Questionnaire

1. PACT has called for the City Council to pass a resolution asserting the Council's public support for a Community Oversight Board of local police with investigatory, subpoena, and disciplinary power. We propose focusing our community's energy on securing Raleigh's authority to create a board with subpoena power and later convening dialogues with community stakeholders to discuss details of the board's structure. The Council's support of an external Community Oversight Board with meaningful authority would communicate that we want to work together toward a system where people's concerns would be justly investigated.

Do you support the creation of a Community Oversight Board that has the power to investigate, subpoena, and discipline police officers when there is injustice?

Response (Please give a clear “Yes” or “No” and any explanation):

No, I do not favor a board that has the power to discipline and I disagree with your process here. The way I would do it is first lay out all the primary and secondary objectives of the board. There are different boards across the country with different objectives. One example is a board that oversees internal affairs investigations to be sure they are done fairly and thoroughly. Other boards look into citizen complaints or review law enforcement policies and procedures. After establishing objectives, I would focus on the composition of the board, so there is a diverse group, including members of the community and retired law enforcement. Third, I would focus on the tools needed to complete the mission of the board and ensure it is both effective and compliant with state law. Last, I'd make sure that the city has a plan for funding the necessary resources for long term success.

2. For so many people, particularly Black and Latino residents, a routine encounter with a police officer can become a traumatic experience that results in unnecessary, invasive searches. This happens because the individual doesn't know that they have the right to refuse a consent search of their person, vehicle, or home. RPD has created a consent-to-search form that states an individual's right to refuse a search, and RPD policy requires that an individual sign their consent before a vehicle or property search, but only requires written consent before individuals themselves are searched "where possible." It is unclear whether this policy is effectively enforced.

Are you in favor of requiring Raleigh Police Department to require and enforce the use of consent-to-search forms prior to any search and pat down that is not based on probable cause?

Response (Please give a clear “Yes” or “No” and any explanation):

No. I am in favor of first finding out if the currently policy is effectively enforced. In order to determine if it's probably enforced, we should have body cams that are on all the time. This would allow the opportunity to review these situations. Then we can make fact and evidence based decision.

3. While Raleigh has implemented a body-worn camera program, it lacks a key element to ensure it is fully implemented: disciplinary consequences for violating the policies. This was illustrated in the failure of the officer who killed Soheil Antonio Mojarrad to turn on his body-worn camera. In order to ensure proper use of body cameras, policies should specify additional training opportunities and disciplinary consequences for officers who repeatedly misuse body cameras and improperly handle recordings. Similar policies have been implemented in other cities, including Durham and Charlotte.

Do you support the development and implementation of an effective discipline policy to enforce the existing RPD body-worn camera program?

Response (Please give a clear “Yes” or “No” and any explanation):

Yes, but I really support new body-worn cameras that are on all the time when on duty so we don't have this problem in the first place. To ask a police officer to stop and think about turning his body cam on when responding to potentially dangerous situations is a bit unfair.

4. Another tool for ensuring the consistent use of body-worn cameras is to use a camera system that automatically turns on when officers draw their weapon from its holster. The body-worn cameras that Raleigh has purchased from a company called WatchGuard apparently do not support this feature, and are turned on by the officer clicking a button on the camera.

Do you support allocating funds to implement a holster activated body-worn camera system?

Response (Please give a clear “Yes” or “No” and any explanation):

Yes but I favor them always being on with exceptions for things like eating and bathroom breaks. Cloud storage costs should not be so significant that it would be a hindrance to collect that much data.

5. Wake County's jail has regularly been overcrowded, and at times as many as 90 percent of the people in the jail are being held before their day in court, and before being convicted of any crime. Studies show it can take months or years to recover from the job loss and other damage caused by even a day or two of incarceration. There are many ways to reduce the harm to the community of jailing people before trial. One option is to expand the use of citations in lieu of arrest for certain misdemeanor charges. This policy can not only prevent harmful pretrial incarceration, but it can also reduce jail overcrowding and save time and police department resources.

Do you favor expanding the Raleigh Police Department's use of citations in lieu of arrest for certain misdemeanor charges?

Response (Please give a clear “Yes” or “No” and any explanation):

Yes. This would be a great opportunity for RPD to work with the community and experts on this issue to determine which misdemeanor charges should be citations instead of arrests.

6. *Are you in favor of requiring, and ensuring funding for, de-escalation training for all RPD officers? Do you support establishing consequences for officers who do not attempt to de-escalate prior to any use of force?*

Response (Please give a clear “Yes” or “No” and any explanation):

Yes, I do support de-escalation training for all RPD officers. There should be consequences for officers whenever there is an unnecessary use of force. I don't know what the policy should look like, or if it's better that a policy is built around evaluating each individual case. Again, this is where body cams being on constantly could allow for the review of situations to determine best methods along with holding people accountable.

7. *Do you support promoting police transparency by requiring that RPD make the pictures, names, and ranks of RPD officers available on the city website?*

Response (Please give a clear “Yes” or “No” and any explanation):

No, it doesn't help promote transparency on the issues that matter. A much more effective approach would be to find ways for better accountability that make a difference long term and ultimately build a better relationship between the police and the communities they serve.